

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

## PCT

To:

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY SAJOVEC, P.A.  
P.O. Box 37428  
Raleigh, NC 27627  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF  
THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND  
THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL  
SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION

03-15-05 A09:19 IN  
(PCT Rule 44.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9336.14.WO	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09/03/2005
International application No. PCT/US2004/035433	International filing date (day/month/year) 26/10/2004
Applicant  ORIEL THERAPEUTICS, INC.	

1. ☒ The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.

**Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:**

The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the International Application (see Rule 46):

**When?** The time limit for filing such amendments is normally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the International Search Report; however, for more details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

**Where?** Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35

**For more detailed instructions,** see the notes on the accompanying sheet.

2. ☐ The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. ☐ **With regard to the protest** against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:

- ☐ the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
- ☐ no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.

**4. Reminders**


Shortly after the expiration of **18 months** from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90*bis*.1 and 90*bis*.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.

The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.

Within **19 months** from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until **30 months** from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, **within 20 months** from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of **30 months** (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.

See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the *PCT Applicant's Guide*, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer  Trudy Hinterwimmer
--	--

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

### INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

#### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

##### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

1. [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:  
"Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]:  
"Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:  
"Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or  
"Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]:  
"Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

**It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.**

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

ATTENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>9336.14.WO</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> <div style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.</div>	
International application No. <b>PCT/US2004/035433</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>26/10/2004</b>	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) <b>27/10/2003</b>
Applicant  <b>ORIEL THERAPEUTICS, INC.</b>		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 4 sheets.

☒ It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

**1. Basis of the report**

a. With regard to the **language**, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).

b. ☐ With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.

2. ☐ **Certain claims were found unsearchable** (See Box II).

3. ☐ **Unity of invention is lacking** (see Box III).

4. With regard to the **title**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:

<b>DOCKET</b>	
By	<u>SD</u>
Date	<u>3/15</u>

5. With regard to the **abstract**,

☒ the text is approved as submitted by the applicant.

☐ the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority.

6. With regard to the **drawings**,

a. the figure of the **drawings** to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 1a

☐ as suggested by the applicant.

☒ as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.

☐ as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.

b. ☐ none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

National Application No

JP/US2004/035433

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 A61J1/03 A61M15/00 B65D75/36 B65B5/10

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61J B65D A61M B65B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

\*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

\*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 March 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/03/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Cametz, C

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.  
PCT/US2004/035433

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 03/080339 A (STICKY BUSINESS LLC) 2 October 2003 (2003-10-02)	1-4, 13, 14, 16-22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 32-40, 42, 52, 56, 57, 60-65
Y	page 11, line 2 - page 12, line 19; figures 17-19	
Y	----- WO 01/68169 A (UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL; HICKEY, ANTHONY, J; CROWD) 20 September 2001 (2001-09-20) cited in the application	5-12, 15, 23, 26, 29-31, 38-41, 43, 45, 46, 50, 51, 53-55, 58, 59
	page 15, line 5 - page 16, line 6 page 20, line 1 - line 30; figures	
X	----- FR 1 469 648 A (MANUFACTURE DAUPHINOISE DE CONFECTIONS PLASTIQUES) 17 February 1967 (1967-02-17)	1-4, 20, 32, 33, 35-37, 47, 62-64
A	page 2, left-hand column, line 7 - line 28; figures	13, 14, 16-19, 24, 25, 27, 34, 44, 52, 56, 57, 60, 61, 65
P, X	----- WO 2004/045957 A (MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, INC; SIEGEL, TODD; ROSENBAUM, RON; BAGLEY,) 3 June 2004 (2004-06-03)	1-4, 20, 32, 33, 35-37, 47, 62-64
	page 10, line 9 - page 11, line 9; figures 4-7	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

US2004/035433

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 03080339	A	02-10-2003	US 2002162768 A1	07-11-2002
			AU 2003216455 A1	08-10-2003
			AU 2003223301 A1	08-10-2003
			EP 1501744 A1	02-02-2005
			EP 1490225 A1	29-12-2004
			WO 03080339 A1	02-10-2003
			WO 03080468 A1	02-10-2003
			US 2003209460 A1	13-11-2003
WO 0168169	A	20-09-2001	AU 3110201 A	24-09-2001
			AU 2001231102 B2	04-11-2004
			BR 0109127 A	22-04-2003
			CA 2400349 A1	20-09-2001
			CN 1416357 A	07-05-2003
			EP 1267969 A1	02-01-2003
			JP 2003526480 T	09-09-2003
			NO 20024311 A	11-11-2002
			PL 358133 A1	09-08-2004
			WO 0168169 A1	20-09-2001
FR 1469648	A	17-02-1967	NONE	
WO 2004045957	A	03-06-2004	US 2004093835 A1	20-05-2004
			WO 2004045957 A2	03-06-2004

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

## PCT

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**  
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/035433

International filing date (day/month/year)  
26.10.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)  
27.10.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
A61J1/03, A61M15/00, B65D75/36, B65B5/10

Applicant  
ORIEL THERAPEUTICS, INC.

#### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☒ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

#### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

#### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

DOCKET	
By	<u>SD</u>
Date	<u>3/15</u>

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas  
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl  
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Carnetz, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3434





---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:
    - ☐ a sequence listing
    - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:
    - ☐ in written format
    - ☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:
    - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
    - ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/035433

---

**Box No. II Priority**

---

1. ☒ The following document has not been furnished:
- ☒ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).
  - ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).
- Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. ☐ It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4. Additional observations, if necessary:

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	5-16,18,19,21-31,38-46,48-61,65
	No: Claims	1-4,17,20,32-37,47,62-64
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-65
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-65
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

---

**Box No. VI Certain documents cited**

---

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/035433

---

---

**Box No. VIII    Certain observations on the international application**

---

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

**see separate sheet**

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/080339 A (STICKY BUSINESS LLC) 2 October 2003 (2003-10-02)

D2: WO 01/68169 A (UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL;  
HICKEY, ANTHONY, J; CROWD) 20 September 2001 (2001-09-20)

D3: FR-A-1 469 648 (MANUFACTURE DAUPHINOISE DE CONFECTIONS  
PLASTIQUES) 17 February 1967 (1967-02-17)

2.1 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses (see page 11, line 2, to page 12, line 19, and figures 17 to 19; the references in parentheses applying to this document):

2.1.1 a multi-dose blister package having a plurality of blisters thereon and *adapted for use in an inhaler*, comprising:  
a frame member (85) having opposing top and bottom surfaces (86, 87) with a plurality of spaced apart gap spaces (88), a respective gap space *configured to define at least a portion of a sidewall of a respective blister*, and  
a floor (80) comprising a flexible material attached to the bottom surface of the frame member (85) *so that the floor extends under each gap space to define a bottom of each blister*.

2.1.2 This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1, which is therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.1.3 The subject-matter of claim 1 also lacks novelty in view of D3 (see page 2, left-hand column, lines 7 to 28, and figures).

2.2 Concerning the subject-matter of independent claim 32, the document D1 is also regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (see page 11, line 2, to page 12, line 19, and figures 17 to 19; the references in parentheses applying to this

document):

- 2.2.1 a method of fabricating a multi-dose blister package having a plurality of blisters thereon and *adapted for use in an inhaler*, comprising:
- providing a generally rigid frame member (85) having opposing top and bottom surfaces (86, 87) with a plurality of spaced apart gap spaces (88), a respective gap space *configured to define at least a portion of a sidewall of a respective blister*;
- placing a metered quantity of dry powder (93) in each of the blisters; and
- sealing a floor (80) comprising a flexible material to the bottom surface of the frame member *so that the floor extends under each gap to define a bottom of each blister*.
- 2.2.2 This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 32, which is therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.
- 2.2.3 The subject-matter of claim 32 also lacks novelty in view of D3 (see page 2, left-hand column, lines 7 to 28, and figures).
- 2.3 Concerning the subject-matter of independent claim 62, the document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art and discloses (see page 11, line 2, to page 12, line 19, and figures 17 to 19; the references in parentheses applying to this document):
- 2.3.1 a multi-dose dry powder package comprising:
- a polymeric frame body (85) comprising a plurality of spaced apart drug apertures;
- a metered quantity of dry powder medicament (93) held in each of the drug apertures; and
- a detachable floor (80) attached to the frame body apertures.
- 2.3.2 This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 62, which is therefore not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 2.3.3 The subject-matter of claim 62 also lacks novelty in view of D3 (see page 2, left-hand column, lines 7 to 28, and figures).
- 2.4 Dependent claims 2 to 4, 17, 20, 33 to 37, 47, 63, 64 are also not new (Article 33 (2) PCT) see:
- see D1, page 11, line 2, to page 12, line 19, and figures 17 to 19, for claims 2, 3, 17, 20, 33 to 37, 63, 64;
- see D3, page 2, left-hand column, lines 7 to 28, and figures, for claims 2 to 4, 20, 33, 35-37, 47, 63, 64;
3. Dependent claims 5 to 16, 18, 19, 21 to 31, 38 to 46, 48 to 61, 65 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT), see also the relevant passages of the documents D1 to D3, as cited in the International Search Report.

**Re Item VI**

**Certain documents cited**

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
WO2004/045957 A	3 June 2004 (2004-06-03)	5 November 2003 (2003-11-05)	18 November 2002 (2002-11-18)

The current assessment is based on the assumption that all claims enjoy priority rights from the filing date of the priority document. If it later turns out that this is not correct, the document listed above could become relevant.

**Re Item VIII**

**Certain observations on the international application**

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 to 3, 5, 7, 8, 10 to 12, 15, 24, 26, 28, 29, 32, 33, 53, 55, 57 are not clear.

1. Claims 1 to 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 15, 24, 26, 32, 33, 53, 55, 57, do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved (see for example the expressions: "configured to...", "so that...", "sufficient structural rigidity to be able to...", "disposed to..."), which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.
2. Some of the features in the apparatus claims 5, 10, 15, 26, relate to a method of using the apparatus rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features (see especially the expressions: "in operation...", "travels toward...", etc...). The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
3. The relative terms "when viewed from the top", "about the same", etc.. used in claims 11, 28, 29, have no well-recognised meaning and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear, Article 6 PCT.
4. Claim 33 is also not clear in that it discloses a further step of the method claimed in claim 32, namely: "**further** comprising attaching a ceiling to the top surface of the frame member so that, in operation, the ceiling extends above each gap space to define a top of each blister", while said ceiling (which defines a top of each blister) is already necessary in claim 32 to be able to achieve the step of placing dry powder in each of the blisters and then seal them.